3. While under probation, defendants abused substances.4. Defendant previously absconded from supervision.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	V. Dustin Daniel Clark Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00190-RJJ		
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
	Part I – Findings of	of Fact		
(1)	1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4 which the prison term is 10 years or more.), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in:		
		<u> </u>		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been conviduous. U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	eted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.		
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:			
	a minor victim			
	the possession or use of a firearm or destrum a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has no			
	Alternative Findin			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has cor			
` ,	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more i			
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established	ov finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions		
(2)	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa			
,	Alternative Finding	gs (B)		
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa			
	Part II – Statement of the Reas			
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detent a preponderance of the evidence that:	on hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing		
	ndant has no stable residence. Indant has a history of substance abuse issues.			

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 27, 2017	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge